arXiv:1408.6598v2 [math.CO] 24 Feb 2015

Constructing flag-transitive, point-imprimitive designs

Peter J. Cameron
Mathematical Institute
University of St Andrews
North Haugh
St Andrews, Fife, UK
and
Cheryl E. Praeger
School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Western Australia
Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

February 25, 2015

Abstract

We give a construction of a family of designs with a specified point-partition, and determine the subgroup of automorphisms leaving invariant the point-partition. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a design in the family to possess a flag-transitive group of automorphisms preserving the specified point-partition. We give examples of flag-transitive designs in the family, including a new symmetric 2-$(1408, 336, 80)$ design with automorphism group $2^{12} : ((3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{22}) : 2)$, and a construction of one of the families of the symplectic designs (the designs $S^-(n)$) exhibiting a flag-transitive, point-imprimitive automorphism group.

1 Introduction

In 1982, Sane [10] gave a construction of symmetric 2-$(q^2(q+2), q(q+1), q)$ designs from $q+2$ affine planes of order q. In this paper we will give a generalisation of this construction. Our construction is more general in three respects: first, we replace the affine designs by general resolvable 2-designs; second, we put a symmetric design on the set indexing the resolvable designs; and third, we use a transversal design to select the blocks.

The point sets of the designs we construct come with a natural partition. A feature of our construction is that we are able to control the group of automorphisms of the constructed design fixing this partition. Thus, we can construct some new examples of point-imprimitive, flag-transitive symmetric 2-designs, including a 2-$(1408, 336, 80)$ design with automorphism group $2^{12} : ((3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{22}) : 2)$. In addition, we show that the symmetric designs $S^-(n)$ of symplectic type admit flag-transitive, point-imprimitive groups of automorphisms which can be constructed using our technique.

We note that constructions of symmetric designs with special cases of the parameters considered here, and related strongly regular graphs, have a long history, starting with the work of Ahrens and Szekeres [1] in 1969. Wallis [13] used affine designs to construct symmetric designs with polarities, giving rise to strongly regular graphs; Fon-Der-Flaass obtained more than exponentially many non-isomorphic strongly regular graphs by varying this construction. Our interest is in the automorphism groups of the designs.

2 A Sane-type construction

In this and the next section we present and discuss a construction for symmetric 2-designs based on a non-trivial point partition. The general case of the construction, given in Section 3, is a development of the following special construction, which is similar to one given by Sharad S. Sane [10]. Other developments of Sane's construction appear in the literature, see for example, [6].

Construction 2.1. Let $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Delta_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ be the desarguesian affine plane $\mathrm{AG}(2, q)$ of order q, and let $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{P_1, \ldots, P_{q+1}\}$ denote its set of parallel classes of lines. Let $\Delta_1 := \{1, \ldots, q+2\}$. For each $\beta \in \Delta_1$, let $\psi_\beta : \mathcal{P}_0 \to \Delta_1 \setminus \{\beta\}$, be a bijection chosen in such a way that, for each

$P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and each $\alpha \in \Delta_1$, there is a unique β such that $\psi_\beta(P) = \alpha$. Moreover, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$, $\gamma \in \Delta_0$, and $\beta \in \Delta_1$, let $\ell_P(\gamma)$ denote the line of P containing γ, and set $B(\gamma, \beta) := \{(\delta, \psi_\beta(P)) \mid P \in \mathcal{P}_0, \delta \in \ell_P(\gamma)\}$, or in other words,

$$B(\gamma, \beta) = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} \ell_P(\gamma) \times \{\psi_\beta(P)\}.$$

We define the design $\mathcal{D} = (\Omega, \mathcal{B})$ to have point set $\Omega = \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ and block set $\mathcal{B}$ the disjoint union of the sets $\mathcal{B}_\beta = \{B(\gamma, \beta) \mid \gamma \in \Delta_0\}$, for $\beta \in \Delta_1$.

The design $\mathcal{D}$ has $|\Delta| = q^2(q+2)$ points, and $|\mathcal{B}| = q^2(q+2)$ blocks, each block having size $q(q+1)$. To see that $\mathcal{D}$ is a 2-design we argue as follows. If $(\delta, \alpha), (\delta', \alpha') \in \Omega$ have distinct Δ_1-entries (that is, if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$)), then there are exactly q points $\beta \in \Delta_1 \setminus \{\alpha, \alpha'\}$, and for each such β, the Δ_0-points δ, δ' lie in unique lines ℓ, ℓ' of P, P' respectively, where $\alpha = \psi_\beta(P)$ and $\alpha' = \psi_\beta(P')$. Since $P \neq P'$, the lines ℓ, ℓ' intersect in a unique point, say $\gamma(\beta)$, and hence $(\delta, \alpha), (\delta', \alpha') \in \Omega$ lie in $B(\gamma(\beta), \beta)$. Thus these two points of Ω lie in exactly q common blocks. On the other hand if $(\delta, \alpha), (\delta', \alpha) \in \Omega$ have the same Δ_1-entry, then δ, δ' lie in a unique line $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$ and hence δ, δ' determine the unique parallel class $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ containing ℓ. Also, there is a unique β such that $\psi_\beta(P) = \alpha$. Therefore, there are exactly q blocks containing $(\delta, \alpha), (\delta', \alpha)$, namely the sets $B(\gamma, \beta)$ such that $\gamma \in \ell$.

Thus $\mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric 2-$(q^2(q+2), q(q+1), q)$ design. Moreover, both the point set Ω and the block set $\mathcal{B}$ fall into $q+2$ classes, each of size q. Sane refers to these designs as *quasi-affine*.

For small values of the parameter q, it turns out that the bijections ψ_β can be chosen so that $\mathcal{D}$ admits a flag-transitive subgroup of automorphisms preserving these partitions of the point set and block set, namely for $q = 2, 3, 4$, see [9, 11, 8].

3 A general imprimitive design construction

Here we present our design construction in which we extract, and generalise, several critical features of the Sane-type construction given in Section 2. Our objective is to construct a class of designs in which an identifiable sub-class are symmetric 2-designs, and for which it is possible to determine those automorphisms that leave invariant the point partition used in the construction. We briefly describe three important features of the construction in Section 2.

1. The first ingredient of our construction in Section 2 was an affine plane, and we will replace it here with a general resolvable 2-design $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Delta_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ with block set partitioned into a set $\mathcal{P}_0$ of parallel classes.

2. Next, the set of parallel classes of lines of the affine plane was identified in several different ways with subsets of a second set Δ_1, namely via bijections $\psi_\beta : \mathcal{P}_0 \to \Delta_1 \setminus \{\beta\}$, for each $\beta \in \Delta_1$. We generalise this by choosing, as the images of the maps, the blocks of an arbitrary symmetric 2-design $\mathcal{D}_1$ with point set Δ_1. (Note that the subsets $\Delta_1 \setminus \{\beta\}$, for $\beta \in \Delta_1$, form the blocks of a degenerate symmetric 2-design on Δ_1.)

3. Finally the blocks in the construction in Section 2 were determined by the maps ψ_β and by choosing, for each parallel class $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$, a line in P. In Section 2, the choice was the line of P containing a fixed point $\gamma \in \Delta_0$, using the same point for each parallel class. For our general construction we also require a method of selecting a block from each of the parallel classes in certain given subsets of $\mathcal{P}_0$. For this we introduce a transversal design $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\Delta_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$ with point set Δ_2 equal to the block set $\mathcal{L}_0$ of $\mathcal{D}_0$, and hence partitioned naturally into 'groups', namely the parallel classes. (The phrase *transversal design* has more than one meaning. We intend a design whose point set is partitioned into 'groups' of the same size; a block contains at most one point from each group; and two points in different groups lie in a constant number of blocks.) Each block $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ has size at most $|\,\mathcal{P}_0\,|$ and meets each group in at most one point; also two points in different groups lie in a constant number of these blocks. A block of the transversal design $\mathcal{D}_2$ can therefore be used to select blocks of $\mathcal{D}_0$ from the parallel classes it meets.

Thus as input to our construction we have:

1. a resolvable 2-(v_0, k_0, λ_0) design $\mathcal{D}_0 = (\Delta_0, \mathcal{L}_0)$ with r parallel classes $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{P_1, \ldots, P_r\}$ such that each P_i consists of s blocks, so

$$v_0 = sk_0, \quad (v_0 - 1)\lambda_0 = r(k_0 - 1); \tag{1}$$

2. a symmetric 2-(v_1, r, λ_1) design $\mathcal{D}_1 = (\Delta_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ with blocks of size r, so

$$(v_1 - 1)\lambda_1 = r(r - 1); \tag{2}$$

together with bijections $(\psi_\beta)_{\beta\in\mathcal{L}_1}$, where $\psi_\beta : \mathcal{P}_0 \to \beta$ such that, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $i \in \Delta_1$, there is a unique $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with $\psi_\beta(P) = i$.

3. a transversal design $\mathcal{D}_2 = (\Delta_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$, where the point set Δ_2 consists of r groups of size s and we identify Δ_2 with the union $\cup_{P\in\mathcal{P}_0} P$; each block has size $k_2 \le r$ and meets each group in at most one point; and two points in different groups lie in exactly λ_2 blocks. So there are b_2 blocks and each point lies in r_2 blocks, where

$$r_2 = \frac{(r-1)s\lambda_2}{k_2-1}, \quad b_2 = \frac{r(r-1)s^2\lambda_2}{k_2(k_2-1)}; \tag{3}$$

We now give the construction, and then find necessary and sufficient conditions on the parameters for it to be a symmetric 2-design. Note that, by the definition of $\mathcal{D}_2$, for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $j \in \beta$, the intersection $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$ is either empty or a single block of the parallel class $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$.

Construction 3.1. Let $\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ and $(\psi_\beta)_{\beta\in\mathcal{L}_1}$ be as above. For each $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$, let

$$B_\beta(\gamma) := \bigcup_{j\in\beta}((\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\}).$$

Define the design $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_0 \times \Delta_1, \mathcal{B})$ to have point set $\Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ and block set $\mathcal{B}$, the disjoint union of the sets $\mathcal{B}_\beta = \{B_\beta(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2\}$, for $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the design of Construction* 3.1.

(a) Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a 1-(v_0v_1, k_0k_2, rr_2)-design with v_1b_2 blocks, where the parameters are as in (1), (2), (3).

(b) $\mathcal{D}$ is symmetric if and only if

$$r(r-1)s\lambda_2 = k_0k_2(k_2-1)$$

(c) $\mathcal{D}$ is a 2-design if and only if

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_0\frac{(r-1)s}{k_2-1}$$

and in this case it is a 2-$(v_0v_1, k_0k_2, \lambda_1\lambda_2)$ design.

Proof . There are $|\Delta_0 \times \Delta_1| = v_0 v_1$ points and $|\mathcal{B}| = v_1 b_2$ blocks, with each block of size $k_0 k_2$. Consider a point $(\delta, i) \in \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$. The point i of $\mathcal{D}_1$ lies in r blocks $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$. For each of these blocks β, the parallel class $P := \psi_\beta^{-1}(i)$ is uniquely determined, and hence also the block $\ell \in P$ that contains δ is determined. Since ℓ belongs to r_2 blocks of $\mathcal{D}_2$, it follows that (δ, i) lies in exactly rr_2 blocks of $\mathcal{D}$. This proves part (a). Further, $\mathcal{D}$ is symmetric if and only if $v_0 v_1 = v_1 b_2$, that is,

$$sk_0 v_1 = v_1 \frac{r(r-1)s^2\lambda_2}{k_2(k_2-1)}$$

and part (b) follows.

If $(\delta, i), (\delta', i') \in \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ have distinct Δ_1-entries, then there are exactly λ_1 blocks $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ containing $\{i, i'\}$, and for each such β, the points δ, δ' lie in unique blocks ℓ, ℓ' of P, P' respectively, where $i = \psi_\beta(P)$ and $i' = \psi_\beta(P')$. Since $P \neq P'$, ℓ, ℓ' belong to exactly λ_2 blocks of $\mathcal{L}_2$, and for each of these blocks $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$, the two points $(\delta, i), (\delta', i')$ lie in $B_\beta(\gamma)$. Thus these two points lie in exactly $\lambda_1\lambda_2$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}$.

On the other hand if $(\delta, i), (\delta', i) \in \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ have the same Δ_1-entry, then δ, δ' lie in λ_0 blocks of $\mathcal{D}_0$. Each of these blocks $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$ lies in a unique parallel class $P(\ell) \in \mathcal{P}_0$, and there is a unique $\beta(\ell)$ such that $\psi_{\beta(\ell)}(P(\ell)) = i$. There are exactly r_2 blocks of $\mathcal{L}_2$ containing ℓ, and hence, for each of the λ_0 blocks ℓ, there are r_2 blocks of $\mathcal{D}$ containing $(\delta, i), (\delta', i)$, namely the sets $B_{\beta(\ell)}(\gamma)$ such that $\ell \in \gamma$. Thus these two points lie in exactly $\lambda_0 r_2$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}$. This implies that $\mathcal{D}$ is a 2-$(v_0 v_1, k_0 k_2, \lambda)$ design if and only if

$$\lambda = \lambda_1\lambda_2 = \lambda_0 r_2 = \lambda_0\lambda_2 \frac{(r-1)s}{k_2 - 1}.$$

Thus (c) is proved.

4 A special case

All the constructions given in Sections 6, 7 and 8 have the feature that the design $\mathcal{D}_1$ is a trivial 2-$(v_1, v_1 - 1, v_1 - 2)$ design. In this case, there is an unexpected connection with Latin squares.

Recall the bijections ψ_β in our construction: ψ_β maps the set $\mathcal{P}_0$, of cardinality r, to the block β of the design $\mathcal{D}_1$; and we require that for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ and $i \in \Delta_1$, there is a unique $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ with $\psi_\beta(P) = i$.

Suppose that $k_1 = r = v_1 - 1$. Add a 'dummy point' ∞ to $\mathcal{P}_0$. Each block β is the complement of a single point b; extend the bijection ψ_β to map ∞ to b. Now the domain and range of each ψ_β has cardinality v_1; each map is a bijection; and our extra condition shows that there is a unique bijection mapping any element of $\mathcal{P}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ to any element of Δ_1. So, numbering the elements of $\mathcal{P}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and of Δ_1 from 1 to v_1, the ψ_β are the rows of a Latin square.

Conversely, any Latin square gives a collection of bijections satisfying our condition. Hence, in the case where $r = v_1 - 1$, given the designs $\mathcal{D}_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$, any Latin square gives a design, which will be symmetric (resp., a 2-design) if the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied.

All our constructions have a further important feature, which simplifies the general method even further.

A resolvable design is *affine* if any two blocks in different resolution classes intersect in a constant number μ of points. In an affine resolvable 2-design with r resolution classes each containing s blocks, we have $v = s^2\mu$, $k = s\mu$, and $r = (s^2\mu - 1)/(s - 1)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If there exists an affine resolvable* 2*-design with* r *resolution classes each containing* s *blocks, in which blocks in different classes intersect in* μ *points, then there exists a symmetric* 2*-*$(s^2\mu(r+1), s\mu r, \mu(r-1))$ *design.*

Proof. We take $\mathcal{D}_0$ to be the given affine design, and $\mathcal{D}_2$ to be its dual. The groups in $\mathcal{D}_2$ correspond to the resolution classes in $\mathcal{D}_0$, and every block of $\mathcal{D}_2$ meets every group (because every point of $\mathcal{D}_0$ lies on a block in every resolution class). We take $\mathcal{D}_1$ to be the trivial symmetric 2-$(r + 1, r, r - 1)$ design, and the bijections ψ_β to come from a Latin square of order $r + 1$.

We have $k_2 = r$, and so

$$r(r-1)s\lambda_2 = r(r-1)s\mu = k_2(k_2-1)k_0$$

and

$$\lambda_0(r-1)s/(k_2-1) = (s\mu-1)s/(s-1) = (s^2\mu-1)/(s-1) - 1 = r - 1 = \lambda_1,$$

so $\mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric 2-design, by parts (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.2. □

Remark Since the number of different Latin squares is very large, this construction should produce many non-isomorphic symmetric designs. Most of these will not be flag-transitive. In the next section we study automorphisms of the designs from Construction 3.1, and in Theorem 5.4 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the automorphism group preserving the partition to act flag-transitively.

5 Automorphisms of the designs

In this section we determine the subgroup G of automorphisms of the design $\mathcal{D}$ in Construction 3.1 that leaves invariant the partition

$$\mathcal{X} := \{\Delta_0 \times \{i\} \mid i \in \Delta_1\}$$

of the point set $\Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$ of $\mathcal{D}$. Thus

$$G := \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \cap (\mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_0) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1))$$

and a typical element g of G has the form

$$g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma$$

where the $h_i \in \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_0)$ and $\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1)$. We note that this may not be the full automorphism group of the design: this is the case for the point-imprimitive groups of the symplectic designs described in Section 7.

First we define two properties of elements $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma \in \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_0) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1)$, and prove that the properties hold for elements of G. The first property is:

$$\forall\ \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1,\ \forall\ j \in \beta, \quad (\psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j} = \psi_{\beta^\sigma}^{-1}(j^\sigma) \tag{4}$$

or in other words $\psi_\beta(P) = j \iff \psi_{\beta^\sigma}(P^{h_j}) = j^\sigma$ for any $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1, j \in \beta$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$. The second property involves blocks $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$, and the following set:

$$\gamma' = \gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} := \{(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j} \mid j \in \beta \text{ such that } \gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \neq \emptyset\}. \tag{5}$$

The property is: $\forall\ \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1,\ \forall\ \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$,

$$\gamma' = \gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \in \mathcal{L}_2, \text{ and moreover } \forall\ j \in \beta,\ \gamma' \cap \psi_{\beta^\sigma}^{-1}(j^\sigma) = (\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j}. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (\Delta_0 \times \Delta_1, \mathcal{B})$ be the design of Construction* 3.1*, and let $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \cap (\mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_0) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1))$. Then the following hold.*

1. *$\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_1)$, and, for each $j \in \Delta_1$, h_j lies in the subgroup $\mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{D}_0)$ of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ preserving the set $\mathcal{P}_0$ of parallel classes.*

2. *Properties* (4) *and* (6) *hold for g.*

3. *For each $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$, $B_\beta(\gamma)^g = B_{\beta^\sigma}(\gamma')$ with $\gamma' = \gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g}$ as in* (5)*, and in particular, G leaves invariant the partition $\{\mathcal{B}_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ of $\mathcal{B}$.*

4. *If $\sigma = 1$ then, for each $j \in \Delta_1$, h_j lies in the subgroup T_0 of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_0)$ fixing setwise each of the parallel classes in $\mathcal{P}_0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Then the image under g of the block $B_\beta(\gamma)$ of $\mathcal{D}$ is also a block of $\mathcal{D}$, say $B_{\beta'}(\gamma')$ where $\beta' \in \mathcal{L}_1, \gamma' \in \mathcal{L}_2$. By definition, $B_\beta(\gamma) = \bigcup_{j\in\beta}((\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\})$. Note that for some $j \in \beta$ the intersection $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$ may be empty.

Now $(\delta, j) \in B_\beta(\gamma)$ if and only if $j \in \beta$ and, for $P := \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$, the point δ lies in the unique part $\gamma \cap P$ of P. This holds if and only if $(\delta, j)^g = (\delta^{h_j}, j^\sigma) \in B_{\beta'}(\gamma')$, and hence if and only if $j^\sigma \in \beta'$ and for $P' := \psi_{\beta'}^{-1}(j^\sigma)$, $\delta^{h_j} \in \gamma' \cap P'$. We make several deductions from this.

First we deduce that, for each $j \in \beta$, $(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j} = \gamma' \cap \psi_{\beta'}^{-1}(j^\sigma)$. Next, if we fix β and let j vary over the points of β, we see that $\beta' = \{j^\sigma \mid j \in \beta\} = \beta^\sigma$. In view of the previous sentence, this means that γ' is the set $\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g}$ defined in (5), and hence $\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \in \mathcal{L}_2$. This proves property (6) for g, and also that $B_\beta(\gamma)^g = B_{\beta^\sigma}(\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g})$. If we now let β vary over $\mathcal{L}_1$, we deduce that σ leaves invariant the set $\mathcal{L}_1$, and hence $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_1)$, and G leaves invariant the partition $\{\mathcal{B}_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$ of $\mathcal{B}$.

Next fix $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ and $j \in \beta$, and set $P := \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$ and $P' := \psi_{\beta^\sigma}^{-1}(j^\sigma)$, so $P, P' \in \mathcal{P}_0$. By the definition of $\mathcal{D}_2$, for each block $\ell \in P$ there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that $\gamma \cap P = \{\ell\}$. We have proved above that P' contains

$$\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \cap \psi_{\beta^\sigma}^{-1}(j^\sigma) = (\gamma \cap P)^{h_j} = \{\ell^{h_j}\}$$

and therefore P' contains ℓ^{h_j} for each $\ell \in P$. Since P and P' are partitions of Δ_0 and h_j is a bijection, this implies that $P' = P^{h_j}$, and so property (4) is proved for g.

Finally, fixing $j \in \Delta_1$, and choosing $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$, it follows from the properties of $\mathcal{P}_0$ and the $(\psi_\beta)_{\beta\in\mathcal{L}_1}$ that there is a unique $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ containing ℓ, and a unique $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $\psi_\beta(P) = j$. We have just shown that ℓ^{h_j} lies in $P^{h_j} \in \mathcal{P}_0$. Hence h_j leaves invariant both the block set $\mathcal{L}_0$ of $\mathcal{D}_0$, and the set $\mathcal{P}_0$ of parallel classes. So $h_j \in \mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{D}_0)$. Moreover, if $\sigma = 1$, then $P^{h_j} = \psi_{\beta^\sigma}^{-1}(j^\sigma) = \psi_\beta^{-1}(j) = P$, and this holds for all j and for all P. Thus in this case each $h_j \in T_0$. □

It is not difficult to prove a converse to this lemma, showing that property (6) characterises membership of G.

Lemma 5.2. *The group $G = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}) \cap (\mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_0) \wr \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1))$ is equal to the set of all elements $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma$ such that*

$$\textit{each } h_j \in \mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{D}_0), \sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_1), \textit{ and } (6) \textit{ holds for } g.$$

Proof. Suppose that $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{D}_0) \wr \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_1)$ and that property (6) holds for g. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and consider the image of $B_\beta(\gamma)$ under g. Now $B_\beta(\gamma) = \cup_{j\in\beta}((\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\})$, and by (6), for each $j \in \beta$,

$$((\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\})^g = (\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j} \times \{j^\sigma\} = (\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \cap \psi_{\beta^\sigma}^{-1}(j^\sigma)) \times \{j^\sigma\}$$

and also $\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \in \mathcal{L}_2$. It follows that $B_\beta(\gamma)^g = B_{\beta^\sigma}(\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g}) \in \mathcal{L}$, and therefore $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$, whence $g \in G$. The converse follows from Lemma 5.1. □

Since automorphisms of designs are defined as permutations of the point set with the property that the block set is left invariant, the arguments above exhibit clearly the relationship between $\mathcal{D}$ and the input designs $\mathcal{D}_0$ and $\mathcal{D}_1$ whose point sets are Cartesian factors of the point set of $\mathcal{D}$. However the role of the transversal design $\mathcal{D}_2$ needs further clarification. By Lemma 5.1.3, G leaves invariant the partition $\{\mathcal{B}_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{L}_1\}$, where $\mathcal{B}_\beta = \{B_\beta(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2\}$, and permutes the parts $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ of this partition in the same way that G permutes $\mathcal{L}_1$. We show further that, for $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$, the setwise stabiliser G_β induces a group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_2$. Recall that the point set Δ_2 of $\mathcal{D}_2$ is equal to $\cup_{P\in\mathcal{P}_0} P$. For $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$, let $\mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)} := \{P \in \mathcal{P}_0 \mid \gamma \cap P \neq \emptyset\}$.

Lemma 5.3. *For $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$, define the map $\varphi_\beta : G_\beta \to \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_2)$ as follows. For $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma \in G_\beta$ and $\ell \in \Delta_2$,*

$$(g)\varphi_\beta : \ell \mapsto \ell^{h_j}, \textit{ where } j \textit{ is the unique element of } \beta \textit{ such that } \ell \in \psi_\beta^{-1}(j).$$

Then

1. *φ_β is a homomorphism and $(G_\beta)\phi_\beta \leq \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_2)$;*

2. *$(g)\varphi_\beta : \gamma \to \gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g}$, and $((\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\})^g = (\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j^\sigma)) \times \{j^\sigma\}$ whenever $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \in \mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}$;*

3. *$(g)\varphi_\beta$ fixes γ if and only if $g \in G_{B_\beta(\gamma)}$.*

Proof. First note that, for a given ℓ, there is a unique $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$ such that $\ell \in P$, and $j = \psi_\beta(P)$ is the unique element of β such that $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j) = P$. Hence j is the unique element of β such that $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$ contains ℓ. By Lemma 5.1.1, $h_j \in \mathrm{Aut}^*(\mathcal{D}_0)$, and hence $(\ell)(g)\varphi_\beta = \ell^{h_j}$ is an element of Δ_2. Thus $(g)\varphi_\beta$ is a well-defined map $\Delta_2 \to \Delta_2$.

To prove that $(g)\varphi_\beta$ is one-to-one, suppose that $(\ell_1)(g)\varphi_\beta = (\ell_2)(g)\varphi_\beta$, where $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \Delta_2$. Then, for $i = 1, 2$, $\ell_i \in P_i := \psi_\beta^{-1}(j_i)$ for a unique $j_i \in \beta$, and

$$\ell_1^{h_{j_1}} = (\ell_1)(g)\varphi_\beta = (\ell_2)(g)\varphi_\beta = \ell_2^{h_{j_2}} \in P$$

for some $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$. This implies that, for $i = 1, 2$, $P = P_i^{h_{j_i}}$, or equivalently, $P = (\psi_\beta^{-1}(j_i))^{h_{j_i}}$ which by (4) is equal to $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j_i^\sigma)$ since $\beta^\sigma = \beta$. Since ψ_β is a bijection this means that $j_1^\sigma = j_2^\sigma$, and since σ is bijective, $j_1 = j_2$, so $P_1 = P_2$. Then, since $\ell_1^{h_{j_1}} = \ell_2^{h_{j_2}} = \ell_2^{h_{j_1}}$ and h_{j_1} acts faithfully on $\cup_{P' \in \mathcal{P}_0} P'$, it follows that $\ell_1 = \ell_2$. Thus $(g)\varphi_\beta$ is one-to-one, and hence is a permutation of Δ_2 since Δ_2 is finite.

Next we prove that $(g)\varphi_\beta$ leaves $\mathcal{L}_2$ invariant, and hence lies in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_2)$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Then γ is the set of all $\ell_P := \gamma \cap P$, for $P \in \mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}$. By the definition of φ_β, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}$, $(\ell_P)(g)\varphi_\beta = \ell_P^{h_j}$ where $j = \psi_\beta(P)$, and by (6) and since $\beta^\sigma = \beta$, $\ell_P^{h_j} = (\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j} = \gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j^\sigma)$ and $(\ell_P \times \{j\})^g = \ell_P^{h_j} \times \{j^\sigma\}$. Thus $(\gamma)(g)\varphi_\beta$ is the set of $\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j^\sigma)$ for all $j \in \psi_\beta(\mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)})$, and since $\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \in \mathcal{L}_2$ and has the same size as γ, it follows that $(\gamma)(g)\varphi_\beta = \gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} \in \mathcal{L}_2$. Thus part (2) is proved.

In particular, $(g)\varphi_\beta$ fixes γ, that is to say, $\gamma'_{\gamma,\beta,g} = \gamma$, if and only if σ leaves $\mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}$ invariant and $(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j} = \gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j^\sigma)$ whenever $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \in \mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}$. This is equivalent to the property that g permutes the set $\{(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\} \mid \psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \in \mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}\}$, which, in turn, is equivalent to $g \in G_{B_\beta(\gamma)}$. Thus part (3) is proved.

Finally we prove that φ_β is a homomorphism. Let $g, x \in G_\beta$ with g as in the statement and $x = (y_1, \ldots, y_{v_1})\tau$. Let ℓ, P, j be as in the first paragraph.

Then $((\ell)(g)\varphi_\beta)(x)\varphi_\beta = (\ell^{h_j})(x)\varphi_\beta = (\ell^{h_j})^{y_k}$ where $\ell^{h_j} \in \psi_\beta^{-1}(k)$. Now $\ell \in \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$, so $\ell^{h_j} \in (\psi_\beta^{-1}(j))^{h_j}$ which by (4) is equal to $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j^\sigma)$. Thus $k = j^\sigma$ and so $((\ell)(g)\varphi_\beta)(x)\varphi_\beta = \ell^{h_j y_{j\sigma}}$. Since $gx = (h_1 y_{1\sigma^{-1}}, \ldots, h_{v_1} y_{v_1\sigma^{-1}})\sigma\tau$, it follows that $((\ell)(g)\varphi_\beta)(x)\varphi_\beta = (\ell)(gx)\varphi_\beta$, and since this holds for all ℓ we have $(g)\varphi_\beta(x)\varphi_\beta = (gx)\varphi_\beta$, so φ_β is a homomorphism. □

Let $\pi : G \to \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_1)$ and, for $1 \le j \le v_1$ let $\pi_j : G_j \to \mathrm{Sym}(\Delta_0)$ be defined by $(g)\pi = \sigma$ and $(g)\pi_j = h_j$ for $g = (h_1, \ldots, h_{v_1})\sigma$ in G or G_j respectively.

Theorem 5.4. *The group G is flag transitive on $\mathcal{D}$ if and only if the following four conditions all hold.*

1. *$(G)\pi$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_1$;*
2. *for each $j \in \Delta_1$, $(G_j)\pi_j$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_0$;*
3. *for each $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$, $(G_\beta)\varphi_\beta$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_2$;*
4. *for $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1, j \in \beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \neq \emptyset$, the group $(G_{\beta,\gamma,j})\pi_j$ is transitive on the block $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \in \mathcal{L}_0$.*

Proof. Suppose first that G is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}$. Then in particular G is transitive on the point set $\Delta_0 \times \Delta_1$, so $(G)\pi$ is transitive on Δ_1 and for each $j \in \Delta_1$, $(G_j)\pi_j$ is transitive on Δ_0. Let $\delta \in \Delta_0$. Then $G_{(\delta,j)} = G_{j,\delta}$ is transitive on the set of blocks that contain (δ, j). By definition of $\mathcal{D}$ these are the blocks $B_\beta(\gamma)$ such that $j \in \beta$ and $\delta \in \gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$. Now for each $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ containing j, $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \in \mathcal{P}_0$ contains a unique block $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$ containing δ, and there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that $\gamma \cap P = \ell$. Thus $B_\beta(\gamma)$ contains (δ, j) and it follows that $G_{j,\delta}$ and hence also G_j, acts transitively on the set of blocks $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ containing (δ, j). Thus $(G)\pi$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_1$.

Further, each $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$ containing δ lies in a unique parallel class $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$, and by the properties of the $(\psi_\beta)_{\beta\in\mathcal{L}_1}$, there is a unique $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$ such that $\psi_\beta(P) = j$. Also, as remarked above, there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$ such that $\gamma \cap P = \ell$. For this β, and any such γ, the block $B_\beta(\gamma)$ contains $(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\} = \ell \times \{j\}$ which contains (δ, j). This implies that $G_{j,\delta}$ is transitive on the set of blocks $\ell \in \mathcal{L}_0$ containing δ, and hence that $(G_j)\pi_j$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_0$.

To examine the induced action on $\mathcal{D}_2$, let $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_1$. By Lemma 5.1.3, G permutes the sets $\mathcal{B}_\beta$ in the same way that it permutes $\mathcal{L}_1$, and hence G_β is transitive on $\mathcal{B}_\beta$. The stabiliser in G_β of $B_\beta(\gamma) \in \mathcal{B}_\beta$ is equal to $G_{B_\beta(\gamma)}$ and

hence is transitive on the points (δ, j) of $B_\beta(\gamma)$. This implies that $G_{B_\beta(\gamma)}$ is transitive on the set $\{(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\} \mid \gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \neq \emptyset\}$. By Lemma 5.3, $(G_{B_\beta(\gamma)})\varphi_\beta$ is the stabiliser in $(G_\beta)\varphi_\beta$ of the block $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_2$, and therefore $(G_\beta)\varphi_\beta$ is transitive on the points of $\mathcal{D}_2$ incident with γ, namely $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$ where $\psi_\beta^{-1}(j) \in \mathcal{P}_0^{(\gamma)}$. Thus $(G_\beta)\varphi_\beta$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_2$. Also the stabiliser $G_{\beta,\gamma,j}$ in $G_{B_\beta(\gamma)}$ of the subset $(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\}$ is transitive on it, and hence $(G_{\beta,\gamma,j})\pi_j$ is transitive on $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$. Thus if G is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}$ then properties 1–4 all hold.

Conversely suppose that the four properties hold for G, and consider two flags $F = ((\delta, j), B_\beta(\gamma))$ and $F' = ((\delta', j'), B_{\beta'}(\gamma'))$ of $\mathcal{D}$. Since $(G)\pi$ is transitive on the blocks of $\mathcal{D}_1$ we may replace F' by its image under some element of G if necessary and assume that $\beta' = \beta$. Next since $(G_\beta)\varphi_\beta$ is transitive on the blocks of $\mathcal{D}_2$, we may further assume that $\gamma' = \gamma$. Then, since F, F' are flags, we have $(\delta, j) \in (\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\}$ and $(\delta', j') \in (\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j')) \times \{j'\}$. Since $(G_\beta)\varphi_\beta$ is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}_2$, $((G_\beta)\varphi_\beta)_\gamma$, which is equal to $(G_{B_\beta(\gamma)})\varphi_\beta$ by Lemma 5.3, is transitive on the points of $\mathcal{D}_2$ incident with γ, and hence some $g \in G_{B_\beta(\gamma)}$ maps $(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j')) \times \{j'\}$ to $(\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)) \times \{j\}$. Thus we may assume further that $j' = j$ so that $\delta, \delta' \in \gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$. Then since $(G_{\beta,\gamma,j})\pi_j$ is transitive on $\gamma \cap \psi_\beta^{-1}(j)$, some element of $(G_{\beta,\gamma,j})\pi_j$ maps F' to F. Thus G is flag-transitive on $\mathcal{D}$. □

6 The 2-$(96, 20, 4)$ designs revisited

The paper [8] describes four flag-transitive symmetric 2-$(96, 20, 4)$ designs (three of which were previously known), and shows that these are the only possible examples of such designs.

Our construction can potentially be applied to these designs, with

- $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the unique 2-$(16, 4, 1)$ design, the affine plane of order 4 (which has a unique resolution), cf. [8, Lemma 3.2];
- $\mathcal{D}_1$ is the trivial 2-$(6, 5, 4)$ design;
- $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the dual of D_0; its groups correspond to the parallel classes in $\mathcal{D}_0$;
- the maps ψ_β are described by a Latin square of order 6.

We applied our construction to these data, with random Latin squares generated by the Jacobson–Matthews algorithm [7]. A preliminary run found 35 non-isomorphic 2-$(96, 20, 4)$ designs, all with relatively large automorphism groups (of order at least 48), including one flag-transitive design (number 1 in the list in [8], with automorphism group $2^8 : ((3 \cdot A_6) : 2)$). We believe an exhaustive search is feasible.

We do not currently know whether the other three designs in [8] can be obtained from our construction.

7 Examples in symplectic designs

The symplectic designs $S^\epsilon(n)$, for $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$ and $n \geq 2$, form a class of symmetric designs with many remarkable properties. (See [3] for a description of these designs.) The designs $S^+(n)$ and $S^-(n)$ are complementary, and $S^\epsilon(n)$ is a 2-$(2^{2n}, 2^{n-1}(2^n + \epsilon), 2^{n-1}(2^{n-1} + \epsilon))$ design. The automorphism group of $S^\epsilon(n)$ is $2^{2n} : \mathrm{Sp}(2n, 2)$.

We show here that $S^-(n)$ admits a flag-transitive but point-imprimitive subgroup $2^{2n} : \mathrm{GL}(n, 2)$, preserving the structure of a grid on the point set.

We begin by giving two constructions of the symplectic designs.

Construction 7.1. First, the "standard" construction in terms of quadratic forms. Let W be a $2n$-dimensional vector space over GF(2), and f a fixed symplectic form on W. There are 2^{2n} quadratic forms Q which polarise to f, that is to say, $Q(x + y) = Q(x) + Q(y) + f(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in W$. These fall into two *types* called $+$ and $-$, where a form of type ϵ has $2^{n-1}(2^n + \epsilon)$ zeros. (The forms of type $+$ have Witt index n, while those of type $-$ have Witt index $n - 1$. The type as we have defined it is $(-1)^a$, where a is the *Arf invariant* of Q. We denote the type of the form Q by $\mathrm{tp}(Q)$.) Now the points of the design are the vectors in W, and the blocks are the quadratic forms which polarise to f; the vector w and quadratic form Q are incident in S^ϵ if and only if $(-1)^{Q(w)} = \epsilon\,\mathrm{tp}(Q)$.

Construction 7.2. Now we give an alternative construction which is more explicit and easier to work with. Take V to be n-dimensional over GF(2), and V^* its dual space: we represent V and V^* by row vectors with the action of V^* on V being given by $v.x = vx^\top$. Now we take $W = V \oplus V^*$; both points and blocks of the design will be indexed by W, with the point $P(v, x)$ and the block $B(w, y)$ incident if and only if $(v + w)(x + y)^\top = 0$.

First we identify this incidence structure with the symplectic design of + type, $S^+(n)$. The block $B(0,0)$ is incident with all points $P(v,x)$ satisfying $vx^\top = 0$; in coordinates, this is $\sum v_i x_i = 0$, and $Q(v,x) = \sum v_i x_i$ is a quadratic form of type + on W (since both V and V^* are totally singular subspaces). Any other quadratic form which polarises to the same bilinear form can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{a,b}(v,x) &= \sum v_i x_i + \sum b_i v_i^2 + \sum a_i x_i^2 \\ &= \sum v_i x_i + \sum b_i v_i + \sum a_i x_i \\ &= vx^\top + vb^\top + ax^\top \end{aligned}$$

where $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in V$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in V^*$. Now $Q_{a,b}(v,x) + ab^\top = (v+a)(x+b)^\top$. Thus the type of this form is + if $ab^\top = 0$ and − if $ab^\top = 1$, that is, $\mathrm{tp}(Q_{a,b}) = (-1)^{ab^\top}$. Now we see that (v,x) is incident with $Q_{a,b}$ in $S^+(n)$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if and only if} & (-1)^{Q_{a,b}(v,x)} = \mathrm{tp}(Q_{a,b}) = (-1)^{ab^\top} \\ \text{if and only if} & Q_{a,b}(v,w) = ab^\top \\ \text{if and only if} & (v+a).(x+b)^\top = 0 \\ \text{if and only if} & P(v,x) \text{ is incident with } B(a,b) \end{array}$$

as required.

Now it is clear that the translation group of W, and the group $\mathrm{GL}(V)$, acting in the natural way on V and by the inverse-transpose action on V^*, preserve the incidence structure and generate their semidirect product $G = 2^{2n} : \mathrm{GL}(n,2)$. Clearly $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ is imprimitive on W; the families of cosets of V and of V^* are systems of imprimitivity, giving W the structure of a square grid.

It is clear that $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ acts in the same way on points and blocks, by $A : P(v,x) \mapsto P(vA, x(A^{-1})^\top)$ (and similarly for blocks). In particular, it fixes the block $B(0,0)$. Now $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ has five orbits on W, namely $\{(0,0)\}$, $\{(0,x) : x \neq 0\}$, $\{(v,0) : v \neq 0\}$, $\{(v,x) : v,x \neq 0, vx^\top = 0\}$, and $\{(v,x) : vx^\top = 1\}$. The points corresponding to the first four orbits form the block $B(0,0)$, and the fifth is its complement (a block of $S^-(n)$). So G is flag-transitive on $S^-(n)$.

The block $P = B(0,0)$ of $S^-(n)$ consists of the points $P(v,x)$ for which $vx^\top = 1$. If we partition the space W into cosets of $\{(0,x) : x \in V\}$, we

see that this block contains no points of the subspace, and meets every other coset in an affine hyperplane. So the ingredients for our construction are

- $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the design of points and affine hyperplanes of the affine space $\mathrm{AG}(n,2)$ based on the dual space V^* of the vector space V (but note that we have an inner product which identifies V with V^*, so we do not have to distinguish between them);
- $\mathcal{D}_1$ is the trivial 2-$(2^n, 2^n-1, 2^n-2)$ design, with point set V;
- $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the dual of D_0;
- there is a natural indexing of $\mathcal{P}_0$ by non-zero vectors of V, and each block β of $\mathcal{D}_1$ is the complement of a single vector v_β; we take ψ_β to map the parallel class indexed by v to the vector $v + v_\beta$. (In other words, the Latin square used in the construction is the addition table of the vector space V.)

Remark The group $2^{2n} : \mathrm{GU}(n,2)$ is flag-transitive on the design $S^+(n)$, but is point-primitive.

Question 7.3. Are there other examples of flag-transitive automorphism groups of symmetric designs which preserve a grid structure?

In this connection,we have the following result.

Proposition 7.4. *Let D be a 2-(v,k,λ) design, with $v = n^2$, admitting a block-transitive subgroup of $S_n \times S_n$ (with the product action). Then $n+1$ divides $\binom{k}{2}$.*

Proof . As in [2], the images of the blocks of D under $S_n \times S_n$ are the blocks of a block-transitive 2-design. The 2-design condition is equivalent to the condition that the numbers of pairs of distinct points in a block B lying in each orbital of $S_n \times S_n$ are in the same ratio as the sizes of these orbitals, that is, $1:1:n-1$. Hence $n+1$ divides $\binom{k}{2}$.

8 A sporadic example

In this section we construct a flag-transitive, point-imprimitive 2-$(1408, 336, 80)$ design whose automorphism group is $2^6 : ((3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{22}) : 2)$. We describe briefly

the way this design might be produced by our general construction, but for verification purposes it is more convenient to give a more direct construction of the design.

The ingredients are as follows.

1. $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the design of points and planes in 3-dimensional affine space over GF(4). It is a 2-$(64, 16, 5)$ design with 21 parallel classes of size 4.

2. $\mathcal{D}_1$ is the trivial symmetric 2-$(22, 21, 20)$ design.

3. $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the dual of $\mathcal{D}_0$. That is, its points are the 84 planes of AG$(3, 4)$, partitioned into 21 groups (parallel classes) of size 4; for each point of the affine space, there is a block of $\mathcal{D}_2$ consisting of the 21 planes containing that point, with one plane from each parallel class. Two non-parallel planes meet in four points.

4. The construction of the bijections ψ_β uses a 6-dimensional affine space admitting the group $2^{12} : (3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{22})$. It is somewhat intricate: this group acts on a set of size 22 (which we identify with the point set of $\mathcal{D}_1$); the stabiliser of a point has a subgroup $2^6 : (3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{21})$ which acts on the design $\mathcal{D}_0$. The latter group acts on 21 points as the stabiliser of a point in M_{22}, and we might use this to construct the bijection. Instead, we proceed by a group-theoretic construction as follows.

The group $G = 3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{22}$ (a triple cover of the Mathieu group M_{22}) has a 6-dimensional irreducible module V of degree 6 over GF(4). (Matrices generating this group can be found in the on-line Atlas of Finite Group Representations [14].) The module is reducible for the subgroup $H = 3 \cdot \mathrm{M}_{21} \cong \mathrm{SL}(3, 4)$, which has a 3-dimensional submodule W. The subgroup WH has index $4^3 \cdot 22 = 1408$ in VG, and the action of VG on the cosets of WH is imprimitive with 22 blocks of size 64 (corresponding to the cosets of VH).

Now the stabiliser of a point in this group has orbit lengths 1, 63 (these two form a block), 336 and 1008 (these meet each remaining block in 16 and 48 points respectively). The translates of the orbit of size 336 form a symmetric 2-$(1408, 336, 80)$ design, which is obviously flag-transitive and point-imprimitive. (The calculations to verify this assertion were done using GAP [5]. Most of the time taken by the computation is in constructing the action of VG on the cosets of WH.) GAP also verifies that the group used

in the construction is a subgroup of index 2 in the full automorphism group of the design, which is itself point-imprimitive. (The outer automorphism of order 2 restricts to a field automorphism in $\Sigma\mathrm{L}(3,4)$, and so does not show up in the linear action of $\mathrm{SL}(3,4)$ on the module.)

It would be useful to identify explicitly the bijections ψ_β (or equivalently, the appropriate Latin square of order 22) so as to give an alternative construction of the design. Note, however, that there are many different Latin squares of order 22, and so we expect to find many non-isomorphic symmetric 2-$(1408, 336, 80)$ designs.

9 Open problems

To conclude, here are some open problems.

Question 9.1. Does every flag-transitive, point-imprimitive symmetric 2-design arise from our construction? The 2-$(96, 20, 4)$ designs are the obvious test case for this question.

Question 9.2. In all the examples of our construction of a symmetric 2-design, the design $\mathcal{D}_2$ is the dual of $\mathcal{D}_0$, and has the property that every block meets every group in a unique point. Does this necessarily happen? Note that the points of $\mathcal{D}_2$ are identified with the blocks of $\mathcal{D}_0$, and the partition of the point set into groups corresponds to the given resolution of $\mathcal{D}_0$. In all our examples, the equality $k_2 = r$ holds, and this is equivalent to the property that every block of $\mathcal{D}_2$ meets every group.

Question 9.3. There is an unexplained correspondence between our (symplectic and sporadic) examples, and some 2-transitive symmetric 2-designs which are extendable to 3-designs admitting transitive extensions of automorphism groups of the smaller designs.

In the sporadic example, $\mathcal{D}_0$ is affine space $\mathrm{AG}(3,4)$, which induces the projective plane $\mathrm{PG}(2,4)$ on the points at infinity; the lines of this plane correspond to the parallel classes of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_0$ (forming the set $\mathcal{P}_0$). Now this plane has an extension to a 3-$(22, 6, 1)$ design with automorphism group $M_{22} : 2$, which is the group we have acting on the points of $\mathcal{D}_1$. In a similar way, in the symplectic examples, $\mathcal{D}_0$ is the affine space $\mathrm{AG}(n,2)$, whose hyperplane at infinity carries $\mathrm{PG}(n-1,2)$; this design can be extended back to $\mathrm{AG}(n,2)$ admitting the affine group.

Note however that the designs here (the Witt 3-design for $M_{22} : 2$ and the affine space) play no role in the construction of our symmetric designs.

The problem is to explain what is going on here!

The computations in this paper were performed using GAP [5] and its DESIGN package [12].

References

[1] R. W. Ahrens and G. Szekeres, On a combinatorial generalization of 27 lines associated with a cubic surface, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **10** (1969), 485–492.

[2] P. J. Cameron and C. E. Praeger, Block-transitive t-designs, I: point-imprimitive designs, *Discrete Math.* **118** (1993), 33–43.

[3] P. J. Cameron and J. J. Seidel, Quadratic forms over GF(2), *Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.* (A) **76** (1973), 1–8.

[4] D. G. Fon-Der-Flaass, New prolific constructions of strongly regular graphs, *Adv. Geometry* **2** (2002), 301–306.

[5] The GAP group, GAP — Groups, Algorithms, Programming, Version 4.4 (2004); `http://www.gap-system.org`

[6] Sanjeevani Gharge and Sharad Sane, Quasi-affine symmetric designs, *Designs, Codes, Cryptography* **42** (2007), 145–166.

[7] Mark T. Jacobson and Peter Matthews, Generating uniformly distributed random Latin squares, *J. Combinatorial Designs* **4** (1996), 405–437.

[8] Maska Law, Cheryl E. Praeger and Sven Reichard, Flag-transitive symmetric 2-$(96, 20, 4)$ designs, *J. Combinatorial Theory* (A) **116** (2009), 1009–1022.

[9] C. E. Praeger, The flag-transitive symmetric designs with 45 points, blocks of size 12, and 3 blocks on every point pair, *Designs, Codes, Cryptography* **44** (2007), 115–132.

[10] Sharad S. Sane, On a class of symmetric designs. In *Combinatorics and applications (Calcutta, 1982)*, Indian Statist. Inst., Calcutta, 1984, pp. 292–302.

[11] E. O'Reilly Regueiro, On primitivity and reduction for flag-transitive symmetric designs, *J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **109** (2005), 135–148.

[12] L. H. Soicher, The DESIGN package for GAP, Version 1.6 (2011), `http://designtheory.org/software/gap_design/`.

[13] W. D. Wallis, Construction of strongly regular graphs using affine designs, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **4** (1971), 41–49; corrigenda *ibid.* **5** (1971), 431.

[14] R. A. Wilson *et al.*, The On-Line Atlas of Finite Group Representations; `http://brauer.maths.qmul.ac.uk/Atlas/`